**STEVEN M. CLOUSE WRC REHABILITATION IMPROVEMENTS PHASE 1 PROJECT**

**Solicitation Number: PS-00153**

# **ADDENDUM 2**

# **July 3, 2023**

To Respondent of Record:

|  |
| --- |
| **RESPONSES TO QUESTIONS** |

1. **Question: Can you confirm that the location of the non-mandatory site visit on Thursday, June 22nd is the Clouse WRC (and not Leon Creek WRC as stated on page 15 of the RFQ)?**

*Response: Please refer to Item #1 in the Changes to the RFQ section of Addendum 1 for the change to the site location.*

1. **Question: Project work is at STEVEN M. CLOUSE WRC REHABILITATION IMPROVEMENTS PHASE 1, but the RFQ has site visit at Leon Creek, can u please confirm if this is correct? Is there an alternate date for site visit?**

*Response: Please see response to Question #1 in this Addendum.*

1. **Question: Can entire 25% goal be met with only MWBE or SBE? or can be combination MWBE and SBE?**

*Response: Yes. In order to qualify to meet the SMWB goal, a firm must be certified as a Small Business Enterprise (SBE) through the South Central Texas Regional Certification Agency or be certified as a Texas Historically Underutilized Business (HUB),and must have a local presence. Even firms certified as Minority Business Enterprises (MBEs) and/or Woman-owned Business Enterprises (WBEs) must also be certified as an SBE or HUB, and must have a local office. All firms (presented as the Prime and/or sub(s) in the GFEP) that meet the SMWB requirements as noted in the RFQ will count towards the SMWB Goal.*

1. **Question: Will SAWS consider allowing an 11x17 page to be used for another section of the proposal if a respondent only uses one of the allowed 11x17 for the schedule?**

*Response: Respondents are not allowed to submit 11x17 page size for anything other than the project schedule per the requirements in the RFQ.*

1. **Question: Will SAWS be adding Exhibits D and E to the Submittal Response Checklist?**

*Response: No, responding to the Respondent Questionnaire is sufficient*

1. **Question: Can you provide parts of master plan that is related to the project or a minimum process flow diagram and site plan for planned future improvements for the entire plant?**

*Response: Only the awarded firm will be provided with the parts of the master plan that are specifically applicable to this project.*

1. **Question: Regarding the above RFQ, is the Attachment III Evaluation Criteria Form-Similar Projects and Past Performance form (Projects #1-3) allowed to be over one-page to include pertinent project information?**

*Response: Provide pertinent project information within 1 page per project. The size of the rows and table may be adjusted within the page.*

1. **Question: In the past, SAWS precluded firms that performed master plan to do follow on design work (example Basin Planning Consultant), will firms participated in master plan as prime or subconsultants precluded to do work under this contract? These firms approached us to be part of our team, we are trying to evaluate if they are conflicted out.**

*Response: Firms that performed the master plan are not precluded from doing work under this contract.*

1. **Question: RFQ refers to architect, SOW does not include any building work, is it required to have an architect on the project team? Will there be architectural work planned under this contract?**

*Response: Architectural work is not planned under this contract. See Changes to the RFQ, #1-4 of this Addendum.*

1. **Question: RFQ refers to 18 page limit and 24 page limit, which one is correct? If limit is 18 pages, will the two 11x17 pages for schedule counted towards page limit?**

*Response: The 18 page limit is correct. See Item #5 in the Changes to the RFQ section of this Addendum. As noted in the RFQ, the two (2) pages allowed for the schedule will count towards the page limit.*

1. **Question: For similar project experience, is it minimum 3 projects, can we include 4 projects?**

*Response: It is 3 completed projects only.*

|  |
| --- |
| **CHANGES TO THE RFQ** |

1. **Pg. 1, SECTION I. PROJECT INFORMATION**. The 2nd paragraph is amended to read as follows:

The anticipated services will include project management and coordination, civil, mechanical, structural, and electrical engineering design services, instrumentation and controls, surveying, permitting, geotechnical engineering, subsurface utility engineering, constructability, scheduling, cost estimating, construction management and other services as necessary for the Project.

1. **Pg. 4, C. SCOPE OF SERVICES, 2. 60% Design**, remove x. Architectural design sheets and re-letter.
2. **Pg. 6, C. SCOPE OF SERVICES, 2. 90% Design**, remove xi. Architectural design sheets and re-letter.
3. **Pg. 7, ATTACHMENT II EVALUATION CRITERIA DETAILS AND REQUIREMENTS, TEAM EXPERIENCE AND QUALIFICATIONS**, 10 2nd bullet, remove “architectural” from list of Technical Leads.
4. **Pg. 14, SECTION IV. SUBMITTING A RESPONSE.** Section IV.B.5. is hereby modified to read as follows:

“Responses should be clear, concise, and complete. They should be submitted using an 8 ½” by 11” portrait format. A maximum of two (2) 11” by 17” pages will be permitted for the project schedule, and these will count towards the maximum page limit of **eighteen** (**18**) pages (see bullet 3 above).”

|  |
| --- |
| **END OF ADDENDUM** |

This Addendum three (3) pages and does not include any attachments.